$$\sigma_{\rm m,g,par} = 5.3 \, \rm N/mm^2$$

$$K_3$$
 (medium term) = 1.25

Purlins will be spaced at centres greater than 600 mm and therefore are not load sharing; hence K_8 factor does not apply.

Approximate Z_{xx} required

$$= \frac{M}{\sigma_{\text{m.g. par}} K_3} = \frac{2.98 \times 10^6}{5.3 \times 1.25} = 449811 \text{ mm}^3 = 450 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3$$

Maximum depth to breadth ratio to avoid lateral buckling is 5.

From Table 2.4:

For 75 mm \times 200 mm sawn joists: $Z_{xx} = 500 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3$

For 63 mm \times 225 mm sawn joists: $Z_{xx} = 532 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3$

For 75 mm \times 225 mm sawn joists: $Z_{xx} = 633 \times 10^3 \text{ mm}^3$

Check with $K_7 = 1.032$:

Final
$$Z_{xx}$$
 required = $\frac{450 \times 10^3}{1.032}$ = 436×10^3 mm³

Deflection

Permissible
$$\delta_p = 0.003 \times \text{span} = 0.003 \times 2650 = 7.95 \text{ mm}$$

Since purlins are not load sharing, E_{\min} must be used when calculating the actual deflection.

Actual
$$\delta_a = \delta_m + \delta_v = \frac{5}{384} \frac{WL^3}{EI} + \frac{19.2M}{AE}$$

For 75 × 200:
$$\delta_a = \frac{5}{384} \times \frac{9 \times 10^3 \times 2650^3}{5800 \times 50 \times 10^6} + \frac{19.2 \times 2.98 \times 10^6}{15 \times 10^3 \times 5800}$$

$$= 7.5 + 0.66 = 8.16 \,\mathrm{mm} > 7.95 \,\mathrm{mm}$$

For 63 × 225:
$$\delta_{\rm a} = \frac{5}{384} \times \frac{9 \times 10^3 \times 2650^3}{5800 \times 59.8 \times 10^6} + \frac{19.2 \times 2.98 \times 10^6}{14.2 \times 10^3 \times 5800}$$

$$= 6.29 + 0.69 = 6.98 \, \text{mm} < 7.95 \, \text{mm}$$

For 75 × 225:
$$\delta_{a} = \frac{5}{384} \times \frac{9 \times 10^{3} \times 2650^{3}}{5800 \times 71.2 \times 10^{6}} + \frac{19.2 \times 2.98 \times 10^{6}}{16.9 \times 10^{3} \times 5800}$$

$$= 5.28 + 0.58 = 5.86 \,\mathrm{mm} < 7.95 \,\mathrm{mm}$$

Thus both $63 \, \text{mm} \times 225 \, \text{mm}$ and $75 \, \text{mm} \times 225 \, \text{mm}$ joists are adequate.

Shear unnotched

Maximum shear
$$F_v = 4.5 \text{ kN} = 4.5 \times 10^3 \text{ N}$$

$$r_{\rm g} = 0.67 \, \rm N/mm^2$$

$$r_{\rm adm} = r_{\rm g} K_3 = 0.67 \times 1.25 = 0.84 \, \rm N/mm^2$$

For 63 × 225:
$$r_a = \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{4.5 \times 10^3}{14.2 \times 10^3} = 0.48 \text{ N/mm}^2 < r_{adm}$$

For 75 × 225:
$$r_{\rm a} = \frac{3}{2} \times \frac{4.5 \times 10^3}{16.9 \times 10^3} = 0.4 \text{ N/mm}^2 < r_{\rm adm}$$

Both sections are therefore adequate.

Bearing

$$F = 4.5 \times 10^3 \,\text{N}$$

Assume that the purlins will be supported on 100 mm blockwork and check the narrower choice of section:

$$\sigma_{c,a,perp} = \frac{F}{\text{bearing area}} = \frac{4.5 \times 10^3}{100 \times 63} = 0.71 \text{ N/mm}^2$$

 $\sigma_{c,g,perp} = 2.2 \text{ N/mm}^2$, wane prohibited

$$\sigma_{\rm c,adm,perp} = \sigma_{\rm c,g,perp} K_3 = 2.2 \times 1.25 = 2.75 \,\mathrm{N/mm^2} > 0.71 \,\mathrm{N/mm^2}$$

Both sections are adequate.

Conclusion

Use $63 \text{ mm} \times 225 \text{ mm}$ or $75 \text{ mm} \times 225 \text{ mm}$ SC3 redwood sawn purlins. The final choice may be determined by availability.

Example 2.4

Timber roof beams spaced on a grid of $1200 \,\mathrm{mm}$ are required to span $7.2 \,\mathrm{m}$, supporting a total dead plus imposed load of $1.5 \,\mathrm{kN/m^2}$. What size of solid timber joist, having a grade bending stress of $5.3 \,\mathrm{N/mm^2}$ and a minimum E of $5800 \,\mathrm{N/mm^2}$, would be required?

Total UDL = $1.5 \times 7.2 \times 1.2 = 12.96 \text{ kN}$

$$M = \frac{WL}{8} = \frac{12.96 \times 7.2}{8} = 11.66 \text{ kN m} = 11.66 \times 10^6 \text{ N mm}$$

 K_3 (medium term) = 1.25; K_7 is unknown; K_8 load sharing factor is not applicable.

Approximate
$$Z_{xx}$$
 required = $\frac{11.66 \times 10^6}{5.3 \times 1.25} = 1760\,000\,\text{mm}^3 = 1760 \times 10^3\,\text{mm}^3$

$$\delta_p = 0.003 \times \text{span} = 0.003 \times 7200 = 21.6 \,\text{mm}$$

Approximate I_{xx} required for δ_{m}

$$= \frac{5}{384} \frac{WL^3}{E\delta_p} = \frac{5}{384} \times \frac{12.96 \times 10^3 \times 7200^3}{5800 \times 21.6} = 502758620 \,\text{mm}^4 = 503 \times 10^6 \,\text{mm}^4$$

By reference to Table 2.4, only a $300 \,\mathrm{mm} \times 300 \,\mathrm{mm}$ solid timber section would appear to be adequate. This however would not normally be considered to be a practical choice for a beam. The alternative is to use a stronger hardwood section, or one of the many proprietary timber beams available.